

Abortion Before Conception (GPT2025-04 edit of 2022-02)

Post-pandemic is not the pandemic, and definitely not pre-pandemic. You never step into the "same river" of experience twice, but perhaps the continuous sequence of events is different from what we think or believe. The banks are shaped by the river, yet they also define its flow. Without them, the river would be stagnant. It is this mutual creation—where the static banks form the dynamic river and vice versa—that allows the river to continue moving. The disruption of what exists happened even before it could have been created.

In this context, we begin to question the nature of experience and consciousness itself. My first hypothesis is that a person's experience could be the result of an experience that precedes the conscious one. The very essence of consciousness could be avoidance—avoidance of the preceding event and its existence. If one seeks continuity of the self, the unconscious will inevitably resurface, further reversing the order of the perceived world and relationships within it. It then seems that particular catastrophes, which we believe to be the source of our suffering, are merely illusions created by the avoidance of a primordial consciousness, of prior phenomena and losses, especially that of isolation.

Perhaps the awareness produced by a single person's experience serves to avoid the awareness created by the otherness, and of what may have transpired in that lost period. This is how one steps into the

same river again and again—from different banks. Such a lucid soul experience is ... (NOT(NOT(0))) ... experience.

Maybe it is not truly avoided after the consciously avoided event, because it then becomes completely inaccessible. These frequent avoidances lead to the repetition of a quasi-our-own horror experience, as if the future is being created by the very act of avoiding the past. This could be a definite element of any future.

Time disorientation plays a crucial role here. Is the linearity of time pre-traumatic, traumatic, or post-traumatic? Is it natural, or, more likely, is it falsely imposed upon experience by the dominance and deceptive force of rationalistic principles? I would speculate that the order is fundamentally reversed: the significant traumatic event follows the prior avoidance. This avoidance, and the event that follows, only further obscure an already avoided unconscious experience that came before it.

Maybe avoidance is a way of noticing only what we already remember... Perhaps we can observe such remembrance more clearly through the void of its absence, using our individual perspective, with its anxieties and despairs as embodied certainties of future recurrence. And it is precisely this disturbing void—the presence of absence—that might be the most shocking aspect of it: it has already happened before the present perspective of the affected person even existed.

An unknown origin—what could it be?

The second part of my hypothesis is that the way in which it is avoided (or what is avoided) may not simply be the death of the subject. Where does this avoidance stem from? I am approaching this from a material and relational perspective, from a completely godless viewpoint.

Returning to the question of the origin of avoidance: it is a question of lost continuity, an impossible wholeness as abyss-less integrity, and a consciously never-experienced experience of a single person.

Lost connection? Maybe the connection itself is the beginning of avoidance, existing before the actual connection. We must go back in time, to a point before the self connects with the Other. Paradoxically, it must have happened earlier, but the connection only begins after, as an attempt to avoid it. Disconnection, or the Other placed before the self, comes to mind as a possible origin. It might be a discontinuity – the difference and the infinite finiteness of such a relation.

Metaphorical abysses.

It seems unbearably painful, whether there is no one, or one stands between both, and they are always separated by bottomless abysses. So, it seems possible that the closest description of anyone is that they are the abysses themselves. It seems important to recognize this in order to understand that the 'we' was interrupted before it even began, and these are also our present defining abysses—of plaques and wars.